



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/734,228 | 12/11/2000 | Helmut Lucke | 450117-02965 | 5435 |
| 20999 | 7590 | 07/26/2005 | EXAMINER | |
| FROMMERM LAWRENCE & HAUG 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151 | | | JACKSON, JAKIEDA R | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2655 | |
| DATE MAILED: 07/26/2005 | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/734,228 | LUCKE, HELMUT | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Jakieda R Jackson | 2655 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 June 2005.
 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1,2,4-7,9-12 and 14-17 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1,2,4-7,9-12 and 14-17 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 20, 2005 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

2. In response to the Office Action mailed May 11, 2005, applicant submitted an amendment filed on May 20, 2005, in which the amended and requested reconsideration with respect to independent **claims 1 and 14** and newly added claims 15-17.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant argues that Jiang does not teach or suggest inserting additional, paired and/or higher order information, including semantic and/or pragmatic information, between the sub-phrases, thereby decreasing the burden of searching, wherein the semantic information includes description of the sub-phrases and the pragmatic information includes connecting information connecting sub-phrases to actual situation, application and/or action.

Applicant's arguments, see remarks, filed May 20, 2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1 and 14 under U.S.C. 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Kimura et al.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. **Claims 1-2, 4-5, 9 and 14** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jiang et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,539,353), hereinafter referenced as Jiang in view of Ehsani et al. (U.S. Publication No. 2002/0128821), hereinafter referenced as Ehsani and in further view of Kimura et al. (USPN 6,067,510), hereinafter referenced as Kimura.

As per **claims 1 and 14**, Jiang discloses a method and apparatus for recognizing speech, comprising:

- (a) the steps of receiving a speech phrase (100, FIG. 2);
- (b) generating a signal being representative to said speech phrase using A/D converter (102, FIG.2);

(c) using feature extractor for pre-processing and storing said signal (104, FIG. 2);

(d) generating from said pre-processed signal at least one series of hypothesis speech elements (Col. 1, line 51-53);

(e) determining at least one series of words being most probable to correspond to said speech phrase by applying a predefined language model to at least said series of hypothesis speech elements (Col. 4, lines 13-16),

wherein the step of determining said series of words further comprises the steps of:

(1) identifying a hypothesis string consisting of sub-word units (Col. 1, lines 52-55) then continuing determining words or combinations of words and which are consistent with said seed sub-phrase as at least a first successive sub-phrase which is contained in said received speech phrase (Col. 6, lines 38-46 with Col. 5, lines 28-51 and Col. 4, lines 33-44), but lacks identifying and extracting word classes of high-perplexity, applying a compiler, merging the sub-word-unit grammars with the remaining low-perplexity part and inserting additional information.

Ehsani discloses phrase-based dialogue modeling method for producing a low-perplexity recognition grammar from a conventional grammar having semantic information including a description between sub-phrases (column 3, paragraphs 0034-0043) comprising:

(a) identifying and extracting word classes (trigram subsumed under the fixed collocation) of high-perplexity (very high perplexity) from the conventional grammar (column 5, paragraphs 0100-0102);

(b) generating a phonetic, phonemic and/or syllabic description (phone models and phonetic dictionary; column 11, paragraph 0217) of high-perplexity word classes (very high perplexity), in particular by applying a sub-word-unit grammar compiler to them (column 11, paragraphs 0211-0214 with column 10, paragraphs 0199-0200), to produce a sub-word-unit grammar for each high-perplexity word class (column 5, paragraphs 0100-0102); and

(c) merging sub-word-unit grammars (combining) with remaining low-perplexity part of the conventional grammar to yield said low-perplexity recognition grammar (column 4, paragraphs 0064 with column 6, paragraph 0107), to measure the strength of certain collocations.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Jiang's method wherein it identifies and extracts word classes of high-perplexity, applies a compiler and merges the sub-word-unit grammars with the remaining low-perplexity part, to measure for determining the average branching factor of a recognition network, for evaluating language models (column 5, paragraph 0100).

Jiang in view of Ehsani discloses a method and apparatus for recognizing speech, but does not specifically teach inserting additional information.

Kimura teaches inserting additional, higher order information (hierarchy), including semantic (semantic features), between the sub-phrases, thereby decreasing the burden of searching (greatly reduce labor and time to search; column 3, lines 43-51), wherein the semantic information includes description of the sub-phrases (column 5, lines 38-56 with column 12, lines 22-26 and column 15, line 36-43).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Jiang in combination with Ehsani's method and apparatus such that it discloses inserting additional information, to sort and display words hierarchically in a particular order when displaying the words as candidates for substitution so that a time for retrieving the words can be reduced, as taught by Kimura (column 2, lines 1-6).

As per **claim 2**, Jiang et al. disclose the use of a language model (110, FIG. 2) to provide additional information about the set of probabilities that a particular sequence of words will appear in the language of interest (Col. 4, lines 33-44)

As per **claims 4 and 5**, Jiang et al. discloses that language model (110, FIG- 2) is a compact trigram model that determines the probability of sequence of words based on the combined probabilities of three-word segment of the sequence. (Col.4, lines 41-44). Inherently, trigram language models take prepositional relationships of sub-phrases into account when calculating probabilities.

As per **claim 9**, Jiang et al. discloses the use of Hidden Markov Models for estimating probabilities for any sequence of sub-words generated by lexicon (Col. 4, lines 23-30).

As per **claim 15**, Jiang discloses and method and apparatus for recognizing speech, but does not specifically include information relating to grammatical constraints among said sub-seed.

Ehsani discloses a speech recognition method and apparatus including information relating to grammatical constraints among said sub-seed (column 11, paragraph 0221), to narrow down the hypotheses generated by the acoustic signal.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Jiang's method and apparatus wherein it includes information relating to grammatical constraints among said sub-seed, to narrow down the hypotheses generated by the acoustic signal, to come up with a number of possible commands that are processed by the system (column 11, paragraph 0221).

As per **claim 16**, Jiang discloses and method and apparatus for recognizing speech, but does not specifically include grammatical constraints for a name of a city.

Ehsani discloses a speech recognition method and apparatus including grammatical constraints for a name of a city (column 10, paragraph 0196), to enable the phrase thesaurus to be represented more compactly.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Jiang's method and apparatus wherein it includes grammatical constraints for a name of a city, to enable the phrase thesaurus to be represented more compactly thus decreasing the data storage capacity required to store the data representing the phrase thesaurus (column 10, paragraph 0197).

As per **claim 17**, Jiang in view of Ehsani disclose and method and apparatus for recognizing speech, but does not specifically discloses pragmatic information including digital postal code for the city.

Ehsani teaches that the descriptors include businesses, restaurants, cities, etc. (column 10, paragraph 0196).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Jiang's method and apparatus such that it includes a 5-digit postal code for the city, to allow the information to be received hierarchically with a large variety of different domains (column 2, paragraph 0022).

6. **Claims 6-7 and 10-12** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jiang in view of Ehsani and Kimura, as applied to claims 1 and 14 above, and in further view of Chou et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,797,123), hereinafter referenced as Chou.

As per **claim 6 and 7**, Jiang in view of Ehsani and Kimura does not disclose the use of low-perplexity and high-perplexity pads in the system.

Chou teaches limited vocabulary word spotting (low perplexity) with a parallel network of subword models used to model the non-keyword portions of the input utterance (high-perplexity) (Col. 2, lines 61-65). Inherently, sub-word models contain word fragments.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Jiang in combination with Ehsani and Kimura's method and apparatus, as taught in Chou, in order to improve the speed of recognition by quickly identifying commonly-used words using low-perplexity vocabulary and then proceeding to identify the less-common words by resorting to more expansive computations.

As per **claim 10**, Jiang in view of Ehsani and Kimura does not disclose the insertion of high-perplexity word classes into hypothetic graph.

Chou teaches the insertion of functional words and filler phrases into the detection network to improve recognition of key-phrases (Col. 6, lines 47-56).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Jiang in view of Ehsani and Kimura's method and apparatus, as taught in Chou, in order to handle repeating speech patterns and thus speed up the search and improve recognition.

As per **claim 11**, Jiang in view of Ehsani and Kimura do not disclose the removal of candidates from the hypothetical graph.

Chou teaches the merging of the states of the key-phrase network, thus reducing its size (Col. 7, lines 40-46).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Jiang combination with Ehsani and Kimura method and apparatus, as taught in Chou, in order to prune the passed nodes while

doing the search through the hypothetical network and thus limit the possibility to accidentally encroach upon the beginning of another phrase.

As per **claim 12**, Jiang in view of Ehsani and Kimura do not disclose restricting the remaining part of the key-phrase.

Chou teaches placing additional constraints on the search that inhibit impossible connections of key-phrases (Col. 6, lines 64-65).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Jiang in combination with Ehsani and Kimura method and apparatus, as taught in Chou, in order to improve the speed of recognition by quickly removing impossible combinations from the search graph and thus limiting the search space.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Nevin, III (USPN 6,714,936) discloses a method and apparatus for displaying data stored in linked nodes
- Hurley et al. (USPN 6,678,882) disclose collaborative model software systems with synchronization submodel with merge feature, automatic conflict resolution and isolation of potential changes for reuse.
- Onishi et al. (USPN 6,154,720) disclose a conversational sentence translation apparatus allowing the user to freely input a sentence to be translated.

- Saraki (USPN 5,903,858) discloses a translation machine for editing a original text by rewriting the same and translating the rewrite one.
- Nakatsuyama et al. (USPN 5,752,021) disclose a document database management apparatus capable of conversion between retrieval formulae for different schemata.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jakieda R Jackson whose telephone number is 571.272.7619. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 a.m. to 5:00p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wayne Young can be reached on 571.272.7582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JRJ
July 21, 2005


W. R. YOUNG
PRIMARY EXAMINER